## Case3:13-cv-04115-WHO Document37 Filed11/18/13 Page1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Squire Sanders (US) LLP Mark C. Dosker (CA Bar No. 114789) mark.dosker@squiresanders.com Anne Choi Goodwin (CA State Bar # 216244) anne.goodwin@squiresanders.com Joseph P. Grasser (CA State Bar # 255156) joseph.grasser@squiresanders.com 275 Battery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: +1.415.954.0200 Fax: +1.415.393.9887  Attorneys for Defendant NONGSHIM AMERICA, INC.	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12		
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	STEPHEN FENERJIAN, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  NONG SHIM COMPANY, LTD.; NONGSHIM AMERICA, INC.; OTTOGI COMPANY, LTD.; OTTOGI AMERICA, INC.; SAMYANG FOODS COMPANY LTD.; SAM YANG (U.S.A.) INC.; KOREA YAKULT CO, LTD.; KOREA YAKULT CO, LTD. D/B/A PALDO AMERICA, and PALDO COMPANY, LTD.,  Defendants.	Case No. 13-CV-04115-WHO Class Action STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME WITHIN WHICH TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT; ORDER THEREON Civil L.R. 6-1(a)
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

SQUIRE SANDERS (US) LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94111 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ORDER THEREON CASE NO. 13-CV-04115-WHO 1

2

4

3

5 6

7 8

9

10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, on October 7, 2012, through stipulation, the Parties, by and through their respective counsel, extended Nongshim America, Inc.'s ("NS America") time to respond to the complaint in this action until November 25, 2013;

WHEREAS, the Initial Case Management Conference ("CMC") in this action is currently set for November 26, 2013;

WHEREAS, this action is one of ten currently-pending lawsuits arising out of an alleged conspiracy to manipulate the prices of Korean noodle products (the "Related Actions"). Each of the ten actions names the same four Korea-based companies and their alleged U.S. subsidiaries as Defendants;

WHEREAS, in light of the overlapping factual and legal issues presented by these different cases, on September 19, the Plaintiff in this action filed a motion before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") to transfer and consolidate the Related Actions (the "MDL Motion");

WHEREAS, the JPML set the MDL Motion for hearing on December 5 and, in ruling on that motion, will decide (a) if the cases should be consolidated and (b) in which court;

WHEREAS, to date, all of the parties that have appeared before the JPML have filed a response in support, joinder or non-opposition to transfer the related cases to the Northern District of California to be presided over by this Court;

WHEREAS, the purpose of the MDL Motion is "to eliminate duplicative discovery, prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings (especially with respect to class certification matters), and conserve the resources of the parties, their counsel and the judiciary." In re Hypodermic Prods. Antitrust Litig., 408 F. Supp. 2d 1356, 1357 (J.P.M.L. 2005);

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2013, Plaintiff in this action filed a motion for an order (1) naming an "Interim Lead Class Counsel" and "Liaison Counsel," (2) setting a schedule for the filing of a "Consolidated Complaint" by the consolidated Plaintiffs and (3) consolidating the

- 2 -

## Case3:13-cv-04115-WHO Document37 Filed11/18/13 Page3 of 4

1	following five actions pending in this Court (the "Indirect Purchaser Actions"): Fenerjian v.		
2	Nong Shim Co., Ltd., Case No. 13-4115-WHO; Bonnington v. Nong Shim Co., Ltd., Case No. 13-		
3	4296-WHO; Christina Nguyen v. Nong Shim Co., Ltd., Case No. 13-4308-WHO; Thu-Thuy		
4	Nguyen v. Nong Shim Co., Ltd., Case No. 13-4335-WHO; and Pelobello v. Nong Shim Co., Ltd.,		
5	Case No. 13-4898-EDL (the "Motion to Consolidate"). This Motion to Consolidate was jointly		
6	filed by the Plaintiffs in all five of the Indirect Purchaser Actions.		
7	WHEREAS, in the Motion to Consolidate, Plaintiffs also request leave to file a		
8	consolidated complaint with the Court on or before 45 days from the date the Court enters the		
9	consolidation order or at such other time as the Court shall order.		
10	WHEREAS, the Motion to Consolidate is currently set for hearing on December 18, 2013;		
11	WHEREAS, NS America's current deadline to respond to the Complaint in this action is		
12	before the hearings on the MDL Motion and the Motion to Consolidate;		
13	WHEREAS, depending on the JPML's ruling and/or if the Court grants Plaintiffs' Motion		
14	to Consolidate and Plaintiffs file a consolidated complaint, response to the current complaint wi		
15	become moot;		
16	WHEREAS, the interests of justice and judicial efficiency will be furthered by continuing		
17	the date by which NS America must respond to the complaint in this action to January 6, 2014, a		
18	date following the December 5, 2013 hearing before the JPML and the December 18, 201		
19	hearing before this Court on the Motion to Consolidate; and		
20	WHEREAS, the interests of justice and judicial efficiency will be furthered by also		
21	continuing the CMC to a date after the December 5, 2013 hearing before the JPML and the		
22	December 18, 2013 hearing before this Court on the Motion to Consolidate.		
23	RECITALS		
24	NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their respective undersigned counsel,		
25	hereby stipulate, and the Court ORDERS, that (1) NS America shall have an extension of time		
26	through and including January 6, 2014 within which to respond to the complaint in this action		
27			

28

## Case3:13-cv-04115-WHO Document37 Filed11/18/13 Page4 of 4

1	whether by answer or motion or otherwise allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and		
2	(2) the CMC is continued until <b>February 4, 2014 at 2:00 P.M.</b>		
3			
4	Dated: November 14, 2013	Squire Sanders (US) LLP	
5			
6		By:/s/ Anne Choi Goodwin  Anne Choi Goodwin	
7		Attorneys for Defendant NONGSHIM AMERICA, INC.	
8			
9	Dated: November 14, 2013	BRAMSON, PLUTZIK, MAHLER & BIRKHAEUSER LLP	
10			
11		By:/s/ Alan R. Plutzik	
12		Alan R. Plutzik Attorneys for Plaintiff STEPHEN FENERJIAN	
13		and the Proposed Class	
14			
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	<u> </u>	
16		W. W.Qe	
17	Dated: November 18, 2013	W H. WE	
18		HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK	
19		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO	
S) LLP		RESPOND TO COMPLAINT;	

SQUIRE SANDERS (US) LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94111 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ORDER THEREON CASE NO. 13-CV-04115-WHO